

Cranborne Chase Lidar Volunteers - Scheduled Monument Project Condition Recording Form

The aim of this form is to capture field observations for Scheduled Monuments in the Chase and Chalke area, complimenting the observations and digital record made from the lidar data. Information gathered will be forwarded to English Heritage and copied to the relevant Historic Environment Records. Please use this paper copy to complete the survey in the field then enter your form via <https://tinyurl.com/CC-SMP-form>

Site & Visit Details

Scheduled Monument Number

Scheduled Monument Name

Summary description

Is there public access to the site? *(please see guidance notes regarding accessing sites that are in private ownership)* Yes / No

Surveyor(s) Names

Date of visit

Ground and weather conditions

Time on site

Condition of the Monument & Vegetation Cover

Brief Description

Type of surface cover - low vegetation e.g. i.e. grass/nettle/bramble/bracken

specify type and % of site covered

grass:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
nettle:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
bramble:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
bracken:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
crop:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%

please add any notes on low vegetation cover

Type of major vegetation cover

Deciduous Trees:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
Coniferous Trees:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
Mixed Trees:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
Shrubs:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
other:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%

please add any notes on major vegetation cover

Non-vegetation cover

Built over (building / road):	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
Brick / rubble:	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%
Broken / rough ground	<25%	25-50%	50-75%	100%

(not ploughed):

please add any notes on non-vegetation cover

Landuse

Is the site under pasture? Yes \ No

If Yes please circle what sort of pasture? Unimproved permanent pasture \ improved pasture

Is the site under arable cultivation? Yes \ No

If Yes please answer the following questions

Crop Type

Rotation Type

Depth of Ploughing

Date Last Ploughed

Evidence of Erosion / Damage

This section captures information about a range of risks to the site.

Agents of Damage / Erosion - Vegetation & Climate

specify type and proportion of site affected along with whether this is new, recent or old activity

Root action:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Tree blow:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Water erosion:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Landslip / soil movement:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old

Please add any notes on the nature of the damage here e.g source of water

Agents of Damage / Erosion - Animal

specify type and proportion of site affected along with whether this is new, recent or old activity

Rabbit Burrowing:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Badger Burrowing:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Fox earth / other burrowing:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Stock Damage (e.g. hoof erosion):	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old

Please add any notes on the nature of the damage here:

Agents of Damage / Erosion - Human Activity

specify type and proportion of site affected along with whether this is new, recent or old activity

Footpath erosion:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Visitor Damage /Erosion:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Digging:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Tipping:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Vandalism:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old
Ploughing:	Localised	Moderate	Extensive	Current	Recent	Old

Please add any notes on the nature of the damage here eg purpose of digging, evidence of disturbance of archaeological layers

Condition and Recommendations

How would you describe the overall condition of the site?

- | | |
|--------------------------|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | very good (optimal no noticeable problems) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | good (Satisfactory with minor localised problems) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | fair (Satisfactory with major localised problems) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | poor (Unsatisfactory with major localised problems) |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | very poor (Extensive significant problems) |

In your view how is the condition of the site compared to previous descriptions?

- | | |
|--------------------------|----------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | improved |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | the same |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | worse |

If the condition has changed please explain how and why below

Please note any recommendations for the site?

How would you prioritise the urgency with which action should be taken?

- | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> | urgent priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | medium priority |
| <input type="checkbox"/> | low priority |

Additional notes, images and sketches

Please use this page to note details of any photos taken and to make any sketches